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Evolution

- Traffic dominated by IP services
  - DSL, cable modem, Ethernet passive optical networks
  - Unpredictable

- New network infrastructure is needed
  - Multi service to increase ROI
  - Self-adaptivity to replace the traditional overprovisioning

- Migration from SONET/SDH rings to DWDM mesh networks
  - Lower CAPEX
  - OPEX reduced by the GMPLS distributed control plane and MTE paradigm
  - Tight IP/DWDM layers integration
IP over DWDM mesh networks

- **DWDM technology**
  - Users traffic multiplexed on different wavelengths
  - Fibers reach Terahertz of capacities

- **Physical optical topology**
  - Optical Add/Drop Multiplexers (OADMs)
  - Wavelength add/drop/bypass
  - Optical Cross Connects (OXC)
  - Lightpaths

- **Logical (virtual) IP topology**
  - IP electronic routers
  - Set of lightpaths

- **Multilayer Traffic Engineering**
  - IP load balancing in the IP layer
  - Lightpath establishment when high load
  - Dynamic virtual topology reconfiguration
Generalized MPLS control plane

- MPLS for unloading IP routers
  - Label switching replaces traditional routing
- From MPLS to GMPLS
  - Packet, TDM, Wavelength, Fiber
  - Wavelength replaces the notion of label
- IP/DWDM integration
  - Nodes with two switching technologies
  - Network with Unique Traffic Engineering Database (TED)
  - Better resource utilization
- DiffServ at IP/MPLS layer
  - Classes of Service in IP
  - Different LSPs for different CoS
- DiffServ at optical layer
  - Virtual topology differentiation
QoS in GMPLS IP/WDM networks

- IP traffic divided in CoS
  - Expedited Forwarding (EF)
  - Assured Forwarding (AF)
  - Best Effort (BE)

- Different LSPs for different CoS
  - EXP-inferred LSP (E-LSP)
  - Label-inferred LSP (L-LSP)

- Traffic re-aggregated in WDM

Multilayer Differentiation needed
Virtual topology differentiation

- First differentiation based on lightpath quality
  - Bit Error Rate (BER), delay, jitter
  - Protection

- Several virtual topologies
  - OLSPs are advertised as an FA with a certain grade of quality
  - Set of FAs with the same quality form an independent VT

- Different strategies for different virtual topologies
  - Routing/grooming policies
  - Reconfiguration frequency, rerouting, etc.
Simulation study

- 21 nodes, 36 fibers
- 12 wavelengths from OC-1 to OC-192
- $10^6$ connection requests according to Poisson distribution
- 40% EF and 60% AF traffic
- Capacity uniformly distributed
- Sources-destinations uniformly distributed
- OMNET++ simulation tool
Benefits of integrated IP/DWDM
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Multilayer DiffServ
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Multilayer DiffServ (2)

The diagram shows the average OLSP impairment for EF and AF traffic as a function of traffic load (Erl). The impairment values for EF traffic remain relatively constant across the range of traffic loads, whereas AF traffic shows a slight increase in impairment as the traffic load increases.
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Conclusions

- GMPLS IP/DWDM networks solution for new generation networks
  - Low CAPEX and OPEX
  - Better blocking probability and resource usage
- DiffServ in GMPLS needed to support QoS
  - Higher RoI
- Scheme for QoS IP/WDM GMPLS networks
  - LSPs differentiation in MPLS domain
  - OLSPs differentiation
- Improvements
  - Qos traffic for high priority
  - Performance when integrated domains
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